



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,450	04/20/2004	Nicolette Theresa Hunter		2982

7590
Nicolette T. Hunter
7106 Ruthgreen
Baltimore, MD 21244

01/03/2007

EXAMINER

RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3677

SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
3 MONTHS	01/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/827,450	HUNTER, NICOLETTE THERESA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 November 2006.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 3 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 3 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 August 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 3 is objected to because of the following informalities:

- Claim 3, fifth line, "the of jewelry" should be replaced with "the jewelry".
- There is insufficient antecedent basis for the following limitations in the claim:
 - Claim 3 recites the limitation "the ends" in the second line.
 - Claim 3 recites the limitation "the small O ring" between the fifth and sixth lines.
 - Claim 3 recites the limitation "the of jewelry" in the sixth line.
 - Claim 3 recites the limitation "the other side" in the seventh line.
 - Claim 3 recites the limitation "the small finding" in the eighth line.
 - Claim 3 recites the limitation "the jewelry" between the eighth and ninth lines.
 - Claim 3 recites the limitation "the bracelet extender" in the tenth line.
 - Claim 3 recites the limitation "the longer chain" and "the necklace extender" in the eleventh line.

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flaherty (US 2004/0007017 A1).

Flaherty discloses a system (1) consists of a pair of short chains (1a,4 and 1b,4) that use different size findings (1a,1b,4) to connect ends of a necklace or bracelet (5,7). One short chain has a finding (4) on one side that hooks into a small ring (6,8) of the necklace or bracelet. A large finding (1a) is located at the other side of the short chain. The other short chain has a large O-ring (1b) on its left side and on its other side has a small finding (4) that hooks a small O-ring (6,8) of the necklace or bracelet. The shorter chain is a part of the bracelet extender or a necklace extender (Figs. 1-4). Flaherty fails to disclose that the short chains have a length from two to six inches and that the other chain on its other side has a small O-ring into which a small finding of the necklace or bracelet hooks. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have the small chains being two to six inches in length since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Additionally, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to change a small O-ring on the other side of the other chain into which a small finding of the necklace or bracelet hooks instead of having a small finding that hooks a small O-ring of the necklace or bracelet since it has been held that a mere reversal of essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 27 March 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

5. The Applicant argues that the piece of jewelry is not altered in length by the invention of Flaherty. This argument fails to persuade because the claimed invention is directed to a system having two small chains and the claim does recite that the small chains are used to alter the length of the necklace or bracelet. The claim only recites that the small chain is part of bracelet or necklace extender. Additionally even if the claim recites that the small chains are used to extend the length of the bracelet or necklace, Flaherty can meet this claim limitation since the small chains that are used to join the bracelet or necklace (7) while also providing additional length to the bracelet or necklace.

6. In response to the Applicant's argument that Flaherty fails to include certain features of the Applicant's invention, the limitations on which the Applicant relies (i.e. invention is directed to a single strand necklace and that the finding is not a rod or hook-

shaped finding) are not stated in the claims. It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is the claims, not the specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. *Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices Inc.* 7 USPQ2d 1064.

Manner of Response to the Office Action

35 U.S.C § 1.33 discloses that a shortened statutory period for response to an Office Action is set to expire three months from the date of the Office Action. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. Therefore, Applicant must respond to *all* grounds of objection and rejection within three months. That response must include a response to *each* objection and rejection.

A proper response to an Office Action should include:

Instructions to cancel or amend the rejected claims or to substitute, or to add claims to be considered by this Office (see the Manner of Making Amendments below for instructions on how to amend an application);

Acknowledgement of objections to the drawing and/or specification by:

Specific instructions to correct these defects, or

Requesting that these objections be held in abeyance until allowable subject is indicated.

Applicant should further submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" in which the applicant points out where the applicant disagrees with the examiner's contentions and wherein the applicant also discusses the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid these references or how they distinguish from them in a patentable sense.

Note that while an application can be amended to make it clearly understandable, no subject matter can be added that was not disclosed in the application as originally filed.

37 CFR § 1.121 Manner of Making Amendments

Amendments to the Specification

Amendments to the specification are made, including the abstract, must be made by presenting a replacement paragraph or section or abstract marked up to show changes made to the immediate prior version. An accompanying clean version is not required to show and should not be presented. Newly added paragraphs or sections, including a new abstract (instead of a replacement abstract), must not be underlined. A replacement or new abstract must be submitted on a separate sheet, 37 CFR 1.72. If a substitute specification is submitted to incorporate extensive amendments, both a clean version (which will be entered) and a markup version must be submitted as per 37 CFR 1.125.

The changes in any replacement paragraph or section, or substitute specifications must be shown by underlining (for added matter) or strikethrough (for deleted matter) with 2 exceptions (1) for deletion of five characters or fewer double brackets may be used (e.g. [[error]]; and (2) if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived (e.g. deletion of the number "4" or certain punctuation marks), double brackets must be used (e.g. [[4]]). As an alternative to double brackets, however, extra portions of text may be included before and after being deleted, all in strikethrough, followed by including and underlining the extra text with the desired change.

Amendments to the Claims

Amendments to a claim must be made by rewriting the entire claim with all changes (e.g., additions and deletions) as indicated in this subsection, except when the claim is being canceled. Each amendment document that includes a change to an existing claim, cancellation of an existing claim or addition of a new claim, must include a complete listing of all claims ever presented, including the text of all pending and withdrawn claims, in the application. The claim listing, including the text of the claims, in the amendment document will serve to replace all prior versions of the claims, in the application. In the claim listing, the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following identifiers in a parenthetical expression: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Withdrawn), (Previously presented), (New), and (Not entered).

(1) *Claim listing.* All of the claims presented in a claim listing shall be presented in ascending numerical order. Consecutive claims having the same status of

"canceled" or "not entered" may be aggregated into one statement (e.g., Claims 1–5 (canceled)). The claim listing shall commence on a separate sheet of the amendment document and the sheet(s) that contain the text of any part of the claims shall not contain any other part of the amendment.

(2) *When claim text with markings is required.* All claims being currently amended in an amendment paper shall be presented in the claim listing, indicate a status of "currently amended," and be submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims. The text of any added subject matter must be shown by underlining the added text. The text of any deleted matter must be shown by strike-through except that double brackets placed before and after the deleted characters may be used to show deletion of five or fewer consecutive characters. The text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strike-through cannot be easily perceived. Only claims having the status of "currently amended," or "withdrawn" if also being amended, shall include markings. If a withdrawn claim is currently amended, its status in the claim listing may be identified as "withdrawn—currently amended."

(3) *When claim text in clean version is required.* The text of all pending claims not being currently amended shall be presented in the claim listing in clean version, i.e., without any markings in the presentation of text. The presentation of a clean version of any claim having the status of "original," "withdrawn" or "previously presented" will constitute an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version, except to omit markings that may have been present in the

immediate prior version of the claims of the status of "withdrawn" or "previously presented." Any claim added by amendment must be indicated with the status of "new" and presented in clean version, *i.e.*, without any underlining.

(4) When claim text shall not be presented; canceling a claim.

(i) No claim text shall be presented for any claim in the claim listing with the status of "canceled" or "not entered."

(ii) Cancellation of a claim shall be effected by an instruction to cancel a particular claim number. Identifying the status of a claim in the claim listing as "canceled" will constitute an instruction to cancel the claim.

(5) Reinstatement of previously canceled claim. A claim which was previously canceled may be reinstated only by adding the claim as a "new" claim with a new claim number.

Amendments to the Drawings

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement figures which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with CFR 14.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments, or remarks, section of the amendment, and may be accompanied by a marked-up copy of one or more of the figures being amended, with annotations. Any replacement drawings sheet must be identified in the to margin as "Replacement Sheet" and include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. Any marked-up (annotated) copy showing changes must be labeled

"Annotated Marked-up Drawings" and accompany the replacement sheet in the amendment (e.g. as an appendix). The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended". If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Extension of Time Practice

37 CFR § 1.136(a) permits an applicant to file a petition for extension of time and a fee as in 37 CFR § 1.17(a), (b), (c), or (d) up to three months after the end of the time period set to take action except (1) where prohibited by statute, (2) in interference proceedings, or (3) where applicant has been notified otherwise in an Office Action. The petition and fee must be filed within the extended time period for response requested in the petition and can be filed prior to or with the response. The filing of the petition and fee will extend the time period to take action up to three months dependent on the amount of the fee paid except in those circumstances noted above. 37 CFR § 1.136(a) will effectively reduce the amount of paperwork required by the applicants and the Office since the extension will be effective upon filing of the petition and payment of the appropriate fee and without acknowledgement or action by the Office and since the petition and fee can be filed with the response.

The statute at 35 U.S.C. § 41(a)(8) requires the filing of a petition to extend the time and the appropriate fee. Such a petition need not be in any required format. A proper petition may be a mere sentence such as

The applicant herewith petitions the Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks to extend the time for response to the Office Action dated ___ for ___ month(s); to cover the cost of the extension, please charge my deposit account number ___ in the amount of ___. Any deficiency or overpayment should be charged or credited to the above numbered deposit account.

The charges set forth for small entity time extensions are as follows:

Extension for response within first month	-	\$ 55.00
Extension for response within second month	-	\$210.00
Extension for response within third month	-	\$475.00

Certificate of Mailing Practice

It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is mailed before the response time has expired applicant may submit the response with a "Certificate of Mailing" in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.8(a) which merely asserts that the response is being mailed on a given date. So mailed, before the period for response has lapsed, the response is considered timely. The following is a suggested format for the certificate of mailing under 37 CFR § 1.8(c) that should be included with all correspondence.

Art Unit: 3677

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231, on _____

Name of applicant, assignee, or Registered Representative _____

Signature _____

Date _____

37 CFR § 1.8 and the suggested form for patent cases established a practice before the Patent and Trademark Office which is referred to as the "Certificate of Mailing Procedure." Under this procedure, a person may state on certain papers directed to the Office (exceptions are stated in 37 CFR § 1.8), the date on which the paper will be deposited in the United States Postal Service. If the date of deposit is within the period for response, the response in most instances will be considered to be timely. This is true even if the paper does not actually reach the Office until after the end of the period for response. The Certificate of Mailing procedure does not apply to papers mailed in a foreign country.

It should be noted, however, that the Office will continue its normal practice of stamping the date of receipt (Mail Room Stamp) on all papers received through the mails except those filed under 37 CFR §1.10. The date stamped will also be the date which is entered on Office records and from which any subsequent periods are calculated. For example, 37 CFR § 1.192 gives appellant 2 months from the date of the appeal to file an appeal brief. For example, if the last day to respond to a final rejection was November 10, 1976, and applicant deposited a Notice of Appeal with fee in the

Art Unit: 3677

U.S. Mail on November 10, 1976 and so certified, that appeal is timely even if it was not received in the Patent and Trademark Office until November 17, 1976. Since the date of receipt will be used to calculate the time at which the brief is due, the brief was due on January 17, 1977. This is 2 months after the Mail Room date.

It would be of great assistance to the Office if all incoming papers pertaining to a filed application carried the following items:

Serial number (checked for accuracy).

Group art unit number (copied from filing receipt or most recent Office Action).

Filing date.

Name of the examiner who prepared the most recent Office action.

Title of invention.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Johnson et al. (US 3,733,852), Flaherty (US 2004/0007017 A1) and Emberson et al. (US 2004/0200236 A1) are cited to show state of the art with respect to the use of extender in chains.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075.

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6640.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth C. Rodriguez
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3677

rcr
December 20, 2006


ROBERT J. SANDY
PRIMARY EXAMINER